

The Royal Parks

Research Programme 2017/18

Park profile: St James's Park (2017-18 waves)

February 2019



Technical Note

- This slide deck presents findings from three waves of survey research conducted with visitors to St James's Park. It forms part of a wider programme of park visitor surveys conducted by Ipsos MORI across the eight Royal Parks in London.
- Across the three survey waves, Ipsos MORI spoke to 2787 visitors across the Royal Parks (c.348 per park), including **345 visitors to St James's Park** specifically.
- Wave 1 took place between 01 August and 5 September 2017; Wave 2 between 01 May and 03 June 2018; and, Wave 3 between 01 August and 10 September 2018.
- Interviews were conducted face-to-face, and using a 'random stop' technique using predefined interview points.
- Results are based upon all completed interviews unless otherwise stated. Please treat answers with a base size of less than 100 with caution. Where figures do not add up to 100%, this is the result of computer rounding or multiple responses.
- An asterisk indicates a score less than 0.5%, but greater than zero.
- Please note that findings are subject to sampling tolerances, and not all differences in the data will be statistically significant. Please see the guide to statistical significance appended to this slide deck.
- More detailed results, including results on a 'per wave' basis, are available under separate cover.





Visitor profile



Can you tell me where you normally live from this list of regions?



Which of these regions are you from?





Base: All visitors from outside the UK to St James's Park in 2017/18 (113).

Modes of transport used for visiting St James's Park

Transport mode	St James's Park	Overall Royal Parks average
Walk	41%	39%
Public transport (tube, bus, train)	54%	36%
Car (including taxi, minicab)	1%	18%
Bicycle	1%	5%
Coach	1%	1%



Length of visits to St James's Park

Q. How long did you stay in this park on this visit/ how long do you plan to stay in this park on this visit?
Overall Royal Parks average
St James's Park







Visitor views and perceptions



Overall ratings of the quality of St James's Park

Q. How would you rate the quality of the park overall?



Ratings of different aspects of St James's Park

Q. Please could you tell me how you would rate each aspect of St James's Park by choosing an answer from this card?

■ % Excellent ■ % Good ■ % Satisfactory ■ % Poor ■ % Very poor





Ratings of different aspects of St James's Park

Q. Please could you tell me how you would rate each aspect by choosing an answer from this card?



THE ROYAL PARKS

Reasons for visiting St James's Park

Q. What did you do when you visited this park today?/ What have you already done, and what do you plan to do during your visit to this park today? What else? (unprompted)





Reasons for visiting St James's Park (combined 'NET' definitions)

Q. What did you do when you visited this park today?/ What have you already done, and what do you plan to do during your visit to this park today? What else? (unprompted)





Desired facilities/activities in St James's Park

Q. What types of activities or facilities, if any, would you like this park to offer?





St James's Park

Desired facilities/activities in St James's Park (combined 'NET' definitions)

Q. What types of activities or facilities, if any, would you like this park to offer?





Perceptions of safety of St James's Park

Q. How safe do you feel in this park generally?



Understanding of who manages St James's Park

Q. As far as you know, who is responsible for managing (name of park)?





Base: All visitors to St James's Park in 2017/18 (345) and all visitors to the eight Royal Parks in London in 2017/18 (2787).

Understanding of who manages St James's Park

Q. To what extent were you aware or not that The Royal Parks is a charity?

% Yes - fully aware that The Royal Parks is a charity
% No - not aware that The Royal Parks is a charity
% Don't know _____

- % Yes aware that The Royal Parks is a charity but not in detail
 % Heard nothing about The Royal Parks
- 5 5 20% 22% 15 18 aware aware 64 **62** 15 13 St James's Park **Overall Royal Parks average**



Use of cafés/refreshment kiosks in St James's Park

Q. Did you visit/do you plan to visit a café or refreshment kiosk during your visit today?



Almost half visit a café or kiosk – those who have not give a variety of reasons for this

Q. Did you visit/do you plan to visit a café or refreshment kiosk during your visit today? Q. Why not?



THE ROYAL PARKS

20

Base: All visitors to St James's Park who had not visited/planned to visit a café or refreshment kiosk in 2017/18 (202). All visitors to the eight Royal Parks in London who had not visited/planned to visit a café or refreshment kiosk in 2017/18 (1550).

Top requests for information about St James's Park

Q. What types of information, if any, would you like to know about (park name)? *Top 5 requests*





Base: All visitors to St James's Park in 2017/18 who visit at least 2 times per year or don't know how often they visit (164) and all visitors to the eight Royal Parks in London in 2017/18 who visit at least 2 times per year or don't know how often they visit (1751).

Preferred sources of information about St James's Park

Q. How would you prefer to find out information about the park?



Top 5 sources

Combined 'NET' sources

THE ROYAL PARKS

Base: All visitors to St James's Park in 2017/18 (345) and all visitors to the eight Royal Parks in London in 2017/18 (2787).

Importance of factors for visiting St James's Park

Q. To what extent do you consider the following to be important to you, or not, in terms of why you visit [this park]?



Base: All visitors to St James's Park in 2017/18 excluding 'don't know' (344-345) and all visitors to the eight Royal Parks in London in 2017/18 excluding 'don't know' (2780-2784).

THE ROYAL PARKS



Appendices



Demographic profile of respondents: gender, ethnicity, age, frequency of park use

	Participants in St James's Park (345)	Participants across all Royal Parks (2787)
Female	47%	48%
Male	53%	52%
In another way	-	*
White	77%	75%
BME	23%	24%
16 - 24	. 17%	13%
25 - 34	. 27%	24%
35 - 44	21%	24%
45 - 54	. 14%	15%
55 - 64	11%	12%
65 - 74	9%	9%
75+	2%	3%

THE ROYAL PARKS

Demographic profile of respondents: frequency of park use, disability

	Participants in St James's Park (345)	Participants across all Royal Parks (2787)
Frequent user	33%	51%
Infrequent/non user	67%	49%
Disabled	2%	4%
Not disabled	97%	96%
Prefer not to say	*	*
e All visitors to St James's Park in 2017/18 (34	45) and all visitors to the eight Royal Parks in London in 2017/18 (2787).	THE ROYAL PARKS

Guide to statistical reliability (1)

Those who took part in the survey are only a sample of the total population of visitors to the park, so we cannot be certain that the figures obtained are exactly those that would have been reached if everyone had responded (the "true" values). We can, however, predict the variation between the sample results and the "true" values from knowledge of the size of the samples on which the results to each question is based, and the number of times a particular answer is given.

The confidence with which we can make this prediction is usually chosen to be 95% - that is, the chances are 95 in 100 that the "true" value will fall within a specified range. The following illustrates the predicted ranges for different sample sizes and percentage results at the "95% confidence interval":

Size of sample on which survey result is based	Approximate sampling tolerances applicable to percentages at or near these levels		
	10% or 90% <u>+</u>	30% or 70% <u>+</u>	50% <u>+</u>
100 responses	6	9	10
348 responses (average per park)	3	5	5
500 responses	3	4	4
2787 responses (Royal Parks visitors overall)	1	2	2

For example, with a sample size of 348 where 70% give a particular answer, the chances are, 19 in 20 that the "true" value (i.e. the one which would have been obtained if all park visitors had been interviewed) will fall within the range of ± 5 percentage points from the survey result.

NB: Strictly speaking the tolerances shown here apply only to pure random samples so should be treated as indicative only.



Guide to statistical reliability (2)

When results are compared between separate groups within a sample (e.g. St James's Park vs. the overall Royal Parks average), different results may be obtained. The difference may be "real," or it may occur by chance (because not everyone in the population has been interviewed). To test if the difference is a real one - i.e. if it is "statistically significant" - we again have to know the size of the samples, the percentage giving a certain answer and the degree of confidence chosen.

If we once again assume a "95% confidence interval", the differences between the results of two separate groups must be greater than the values given in the following table:

Size of sample on which survey result is based	Differences required for significance at or near these percentage levels		
	10% or 90% <u>+</u>	30% or 70% <u>+</u>	50% <u>+</u>
100 vs. 100	8	13	14
200 vs. 200	6	9	10
500 vs. 500	4	6	6
348 vs. 2787 (average per park vs. The Royal Parks overall, 2017/18)	4	6	6

